

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	While the vision itself is positively written, it doesn't take into account that Bury council (there may be others, I don't know) have previously and quite recently asked residents for their opinions on improving green spaces in the area - residents very clearly stated that we do not want the council to build on our greenbelt land, looking first for alternative options. This has not been listened to and has been overturned, and Bury is now set to build on 3 greenbelt areas. The council announced this decision and continue to ask residents' views on PfE and how to improve the area but are still ignoring calls to look for alternative options before building on our greenbelt land.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Listen to residents and look for alternative options. Reduce the number of homes to be built on greenbelt land.
<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	2. Create neighbourhoods of choice 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	While the vision itself is positively written, it doesn't take into account that Bury council (there may be others, I don't know) have previously and quite recently asked residents for their opinions on improving green spaces in the area - residents very clearly stated that we do not want the council to build on our greenbelt land, looking first for alternative options. This has not been listened to and has been overturned, and Bury is now set to build on 3 greenbelt areas. The council announced this decision and continue to ask residents' views on PfE and how to improve the area but are still ignoring calls to look for alternative options before building on our greenbelt land.
<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	While the vision itself is positively written, it doesn't take into account that Bury council (there may be others, I don't know) have previously and quite recently asked residents for their opinions on improving green spaces in the area - residents very clearly stated that we do not want the council to build on our greenbelt land, looking first for alternative options. This has not been listened to and has been overturned, and Bury is now set to build on 3 greenbelt areas. The council announced this decision and continue to ask residents' views on PfE and how to improve the area but are still ignoring calls to look for alternative options before building on our greenbelt land.
<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 10 Green Belt
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	While the vision itself is positively written, it doesn't take into account that Bury council (there may be others, I don't know) have previously and quite recently asked residents for their opinions on improving green spaces in the area - residents very clearly stated that we do not want the council to build on our greenbelt land, looking first for alternative options. This has not been listened to and has been overturned, and Bury is now set to build on 3 greenbelt areas. The council announced this decision and continue to ask residents' views on PfE and how to improve the area but are still ignoring calls to look for alternative options before building on our greenbelt land.
<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	How is building 3,500 homes, 3 schools, local centres and road infrastructure on greenbelt land "providing a significant green corridor"? Greenbelt land should be protected, not built on.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Use brownbelt land or other alternatives before doing this. If it must be done, do so to a lesser extent.
Family Name	Field
Given Name	Linda
Person ID	1285776
Title	JPA 8: Seedfield
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the	How is building homes, and infrastructure on greenbelt land okay? Greenbelt land should be protected, not built on.

<b>consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Use brownbelt land or other alternatives before doing this. If it must be done, do so to a lesser extent.
<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	JPA 9: Walshaw
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	How is building homes, and infrastructure on greenbelt land okay? Greenbelt land should be protected, not built on.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Use brownbelt land or other alternatives before doing this. If it must be done, do so to a lesser extent.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	Bolton - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>GBA Bolton - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Bolton GBA01 Ditchers Farm, Westhoughton Bolton GBA02 Horwich Golf Club / Knowles Farm
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	What is the point of declaring protected and necessary greenbelt land when you are simultaneously contradicting yourselves by building on existing greenbelt land? This promise means very little as a result. You say you want distinct separations between areas but this is not the case in most areas.
<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	Bury - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>GBA Bury - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Bury GBA03 Pigs Lea Brook 1 Bury GBA04 North of Nuttall Park Bury GBA05 Pigs Lea Brook 2 Bury GBA06 Hollins Brook Bury GBA07 Off New Road, Radcliffe Bury GBA08 Hollins Brow Bury GBA09 Hollybank Street, Radcliffe Bury GBA10 Crow Lumb Wood Bury GBA11 Nuttall West, Ramsbottom Bury GBA12 Woolfold, Bury Bury GBA13 Nuttall East, Ramsbottom

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	Bury GBA14 Chesham, Bury Bury GBA15 Broad Hey Wood North Bury GBA16 Lower Hinds
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	What is the point of declaring protected and necessary greenbelt land when you are simultaneously contradicting yourselves by building on existing greenbelt land? This promise means very little as a result. You say you want distinct separations between areas but this is not the case in most areas.
<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	Oldham - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>GBA Oldham - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Oldham GBA17 Land behind Denshaw Village Hall
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not</b>	What is the point of declaring protected and necessary greenbelt land when you are simultaneously contradicting yourselves by building on existing greenbelt land? This promise means very little as a result. You say you want distinct separations between areas but this is not the case in most areas.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	Rochdale - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>GBA Rochdale - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Rochdale GBA18 Land within the Roch Valley, Smallbridge Rochdale GBA19 Land to west of Stakehill Business Park Rochdale GBA20 Land at Firgrove Playing Fields, Rochdale Rochdale GBA21 Land between railway line and Rochdale Canal, Littleborough Rochdale GBA22 Land north of St Andrew's Church, Dearnley Rochdale GBA23 Land at Townhouse Brook, Littleborough Rochdale GBA24 Land north of Shore, Littleborough Rochdale GBA25 Land at Summit, Heywood
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	What is the point of declaring protected and necessary greenbelt land when you are simultaneously contradicting yourselves by building on existing greenbelt land? This promise means very little as a result. You say you want distinct separations between areas but this is not the case in most areas.
<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	Salford - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>GBA Salford - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response</b>	Salford GBA26 Land South East of Slack Brook Open Space Salford GBA27 West Salford Greenway Salford GBA28 Part of Logistics North Country Park



Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Salford GBA29 Land West of Burgess Farm Salford GBA30 Blackleach Country Park
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	What is the point of declaring protected and necessary greenbelt land when you are simultaneously contradicting yourselves by building on existing greenbelt land? This promise means very little as a result. You say you want distinct separations between areas but this is not the case in most areas.
<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	Tameside - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>GBA Tameside - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Tameside GBA31 Fox Platt, Mossley Tameside GBA32 Manor Farm Close, Waterloo, Ashton-under-Lyne Tameside GBA33 Ridge Hill Lane, Ridge Hill, Stalybridge Tameside GBA34 Cowbury Green, Long Row, Carrbrook, Stalybridge Tameside GBA35 Woodview, South View, Carrbrook, Stalybridge Tameside GBA36 Yew Tree Lane, Dukinfield Tameside GBA37 Broadbottom Road, Broadbottom Tameside GBA38 Ardenfield, Haughton Green, Denton Tameside GBA39 Cemetery Road, Denton Tameside GBA40 Hyde Road, Mottram Tameside GBA41 Ashworth Lane, Mottram Tameside GBA42 Horses Field, Danebank, Denton
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	What is the point of declaring protected and necessary greenbelt land when you are simultaneously contradicting yourselves by building on existing greenbelt land? This promise means very little as a result. You say you want distinct separations between areas but this is not the case in most areas.
<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	Trafford - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>GBA Trafford - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Trafford GBA43 Midlands Farm, Moss Lane
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	What is the point of declaring protected and necessary greenbelt land when you are simultaneously contradicting yourselves by building on existing greenbelt land? This promise means very little as a result. You say you want distinct separations between areas but this is not the case in most areas.
<b>Family Name</b>	Field
<b>Given Name</b>	Linda
<b>Person ID</b>	1285776
<b>Title</b>	Wigan - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<p><b>GBA Wigan - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b></p>	<p>Wigan GBA44 Land off Fir Tree Street, Ince  Wigan GBA45 Pennington FC Pitches, Howe Bridge, Atherton  Wigan GBA46 Hope Carr Nature Reserve, Leigh  Wigan GBA47 Crow Orchard Road, Standish  Wigan GBA48 North Bradley Lane, Standish  Wigan GBA49 Coppull Lane, Wigan</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Justified?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b></p>	<p>Sound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Effective?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>What is the point of declaring protected and necessary greenbelt land when you are simultaneously contradicting yourselves by building on existing greenbelt land? This promise means very little as a result. You say you want distinct separations between areas but this is not the case in most areas.</p>